

1A = 26
2/12/53

MAURY MAVERICK, JR.
DISTRICT 68
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS



The State of Texas
House of Representatives

Austin, Texas

3 August 1953

COMMITTEES:
CHAIRMAN:
ENGROSSED BILLS
MEMBER:
JUDICIARY
AERONAUTICS
PENITENTIARIES
LABOR

709 Maverick Bldg.
San Antonio, Texas.

Doctor Hector Garcia
634 Ohio St.
Corpus Christi, Texas.

Dear Doctor Garcia:

I was sorry that I could not be with you and the rest of my friends of the Forum at the convention in Ft. Worth. Only recently I have started a new law firm and things are pretty rough.

The new President, Chris Aldrette, is a good man as, of course, you know. Of course I like Albert Pena, Jr. a lot because he is a home town boy; however, everybody can't win.
other

I saw Gus Garcia the/night when, at the last minute, I was asked to be Master of Ceremonies at a LULAC affair. Gus says that he hasn't had a drink in six months-- and that he flat does not drink any more. He did have dark circles under his eyes and looked rather skinny-- but I think that he is on the way up. I certainly hope so because he has a fine Brain and is capable of many good things.

Pena told me that you and Jim Sewell visited together. Jim is really a good man whom we can all trust. If I ever get to Corpus I would like to talk with you about various people. The various politicians in Austin now know that the G.I. Forum has some muscle. Some of those fellows are pretty sorry and perhaps we can exchange some information on that plus other things if we ever get together.

I really do enjoy seeing those younger people of the Forum. They have a lot of energy and get-up about them. You did a good thing for Democracy when you started that organization and I hope you will keep it young and enthusiastic in spirit as I know you will. Please call on me if you ever come to San Antonio.

Regards,


Maury Maverick, Jr.

November 12, 1953

Mr. Argulfo Martinez
County Court House
Rio Grande City, Texas

Dear Mr. Martinez:

I am sorry to bother you, but I have a little problem that I think you might be able to help solve.

You see, a family from Mathis, Texas came by my office in need of some help and I need to have the register at Roma, Texas checked for the birth certificate of Bernardo Rodriguez who is supposed to have been born Saus, Texas to one side of Roma and was baptized in Roma, Texas. He was born in September 26th, 1886. I would appreciate it very much if you would check both the county records and the church records for his baptism date. The name of the church in Roma is Our Lady of Refuge Church.

You see, he has a son in the service and he has to prove that he is a U.S. Citizen before he can apply for dependency allotment. He has tried to get his birth certificate and his Baptism papers before and he has not been able to do so because each time they send him from Roma, Texas a certificate for his sister whose name is Bernarda. There might be a mixup in names, so that is why we need to have someone go personally to check this information.

Thanking you for your cooperation in this matter, I remain

Very truly yours,


Hector P. Garcia, M.D.

HPG/sc

Hector Perez Garcia, M.D.

March 27, 1953

3024 Morgan Avenue

Corpus Christi Texas

634 Ohio Street

Corpus Christi Texas

Mexico . Jan 17, 1914

American

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AUSTIN TEXA

JUNE 1949 1936

A.B.

UNIV. OF TEXAS MEDICAL SCHOOL GALVESTON

June 1940

M.D.

CREIGHTON MEMORIAL HOSP. OMAHA
NEBRASKA

1940 -1941

TEXAS

1940

CREIGHTON MEMORIAL ST. JOSEPH HOSPITAL

OMAHA NEBRASKA

1941-1942.

yes

MEMORIAL HOSPITAL CORPUS CHRISTI TEXAS

SPORN HOSPITAL CORPUS CHRISTI TEXAS

NUECES COUNTY MEDICAL SOCIETY

TEXAS MEDICAL ASSOCIATION

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION

DR. J.A. GARCIA

Dr. Charles Clark

Cr. Prospero Jimenez

401 Staples S., Corpus Christi, Tex.

MED. DENTAL BLDG. CORPUS CHRISTI.

1220 South Staples St.

BISHOPS' COMMITTEE FOR THE SPANISH SPEAKING
Regional Office
2109 East Second St.
Austin, Texas

File

January 12, 1953

Dear Friend:

There are more unjust and unscrupulous money lenders in Texas than in any other state, and our Spanish-speaking citizens are the chief victims of these "loan sharks". The enclosed booklet will explain much and we particularly refer you to Page 13.

The Regional Office has long advocated State legislation which would discourage this iniquity. We wish to call your attention to the proposed law prepared by the Texas Junior Bar Association which would do much to improve that situation. The new law would do the following:

1. Assure the borrowers of a reasonable maximum rate of charge;
2. Requires that loan charges be computed only on unpaid balances with no additional costs, fees or fines;
3. Provides for strict State supervision of licensed companies.

The priests and staff of the Regional Office feel that the matter is of sufficient importance that everyone should contact his State Senator and Representatives urging them to study the Texas Small Loan Law which is being proposed.

Very sincerely yours,

Matthew H. Kelly
(Rev.) Matthew H. Kelly

MHK:dc
Encl.

March 25, 1953.

Dr. Hector Garcia
3024 Morgan
Corpus Christi, Texas.

Dear Dr. Garcia:

After talking on the phone the other day and receiving your check I noted that you are not now a member of the A. A. G. P., at least according to the records at my disposal.

We would like for you to join and I am sending you an application blank for you to fill out and return at your earliest convenience.

I'm also returning your check as there is an admission fee of \$10.00 plus the annual dues of \$30.00 making a total of \$40.00. Please make check payable to the Gulf Coast Academy of General Practice and send it with filled application blanks to me.

Sincerely,

Raymond L. Morpew
Raymond L. Morpew, M.D.

RECEIVED TELEGRAM A 3:45 P.M. TODAY WED. MAY 1 1953 BY CHOLE

by telephone

APPRECIATE YOUR TELEGRAM EXPRESSING YOUR VIEWS ON CROSS FILEING. THIS
MATTER WILL BE BEFORE THE COMMITTEE OF WHICH I AM A MEMBER THIS AFTERNOON.
WILL CERTAINLY KEEP YOUR TELEGRAM IN MIND WHEN I AM CALLED UPON TO PASS
UPON THIS FEATURE OF THE BILL.

SIGNED: WM. H. SHIREMAN

LAW OFFICES OF
J. T. CANALES
BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS

January 26, 1953

J. A. Garcia, M.D.
Corpus Christi, Texas

My dear Dr. Garcia:

Your telegram of Saturday, January 24, as follows:--

"Regret your arbitrary attitude and unwarranted attack
on my friend, Judge E.D. Salinas" (signed) J.A. Garcia, M.D."

was duly received and in reply, I wish to say that if I, as a lawyer, had written you a like telegram, if you had been criticizing the action and ethics of a member of your profession, I would have considered such action, on my part, as highly impertinent. You may know what is good and what is bad as to the ethics of your medical profession, but you are wholly ignorant of the ethics and action of the judges and lawyers, as a profession.

When a District Judge, in our State, permits another Judge (indicted by a Grand Jury) of his own County for a misdemeanor and a felony, such as cheating and swindling his own County of money, then he becomes a particeps criminis and as the Spanish saying goes: "Tanto peca el que mata la vaca, como el que le tiene la pata".

You may know what is right or wrong as to your profession, but you certainly don't know a thing as to our profession; besides, who made you sole judge and arbiter of other people's conduct? You are a meddler, Doctor, and it would be better for you to keep your mouth shut.

Very sincerely,

J. T. Canales
J. T. Canales.

N.B. On account that I have to leave town, I am authorizing my Secretary to sign my name.

J.T.C.

JTC/vc

HECTOR P. GARCIA, M. D.

3024 MORGAN

CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS

Jan. 27, 1952.

PHONES:
OFFICE 3-1508
RES. 52-2761
EXCHANGE 4-6347

*cc Dr J A
Judge Salinas
copy of Judge J.T
letter to Salinas*

Mr. J.T. Canales
Brownsville, Texas.

Dear Mr. Canales;

I am surprised that you would send me a letter or a copy of a letter in a private argument or discussion between you and my brother. I believe that as ^{an} educated man ~~that~~ you ~~are your~~ should have kept the discussion on a basis between both of you.

However, since you have sent me a copy of the letter, I may like to add that in the first place your letter is not at all gentlemanly in that you not only use threatening language but also in that you do not take criticism gracefully. To say the least, I was ashamed to know that you had written such an unreasonable and unwarranted letter in answer to a disagreement with Dr. J.A. Garcia. Incidentally, he is in San Antonio for four days.

"cohesion"
Your second paragraph is also incomplete in that your thought is not completed; it may be a mistake by your secretary but the idea you intended to make did not carry. Please study the paragraph and see if it "legally" makes any sense".
mode since

grammatically
Since I may add my thoughts, I would like to say that I agree with my brother on two points. The first point is that we have so few (one or two) District Judges of Mexican Origin in Texas that I believe your attack on Judge Salinas on a publicity basis has injured you and the rest of our legal professional indirectly. If I had disagreed with Judge Salinas I would have talked to him personally.

Secondly, under our jurisprudence set up, the accused still has all the opportunities in a Democratic Country. I understand that the prosecuting attorney was the one that asked for most of the dismissals on the charges. I believe that Judge Salinas acted honestly in view of the evidence and the limitations of the charges and that he has again proven that the accused still has a chance under our set up. However this does not mean that I approve of the action of Judge Laughlin.

I am sorry in stating that the majority of our people in South Texas feel that you have done Judge Salinas and our people an injustice and that you have failed to take your own advice as you had recommended in another case of "not fighting publicly amongst ourselves". I may add that after all the criticism you ^{men} dished out to us on the "Wetback Pamphlet" you certainly did not like the taste when the criticism was dashed out to you.

I agree with my brother and regret that a man of your culture and education should have written such a letter in a moment of anger and frustration. The Bar Association, I can assure you will not do anything about your illogical suggestions and recommendations.

2--Mr. J.T. Canales, January 27, 1953.

dished out to us on the "Wetback Pamphlet" you certainly did not like the taste when the criticism was dished out to you.

I agree with my brother and regret that a man of your culture and education should have written such a letter in a moment of anger and frustration. The Bar Association, I can assure you will not do anything about your illogical suggestions and recommendations.

Yours truly,

Hector P. Garcia, M.D.

HPG/mt

CC: Dr. J.A. Garcia
Judge E.D. Salinas

Jan. 27, 1952.

Mr. J.T. Canales
Brownsville, Texas.

Dear Mr. Canales;

I am surprised that you would send me a letter or a copy of a letter in a private argument or discussion between you and my brother. I believe that as educated man that you are your should have kept the discussion on a basis between both of you.

However, since you have sent me a copy of the letter I may like to add that in the first place your letter is not at all gentlemanly in that you not only use threatening language but also in that you do not take criticism gracefully. To say the least I was ashamed to know that you had written such an unreasonable and unwarranted letter in answer to a disagreement with Dr. J.A. Garcia. Incidentally he is in San Antonio for four days.

Your second paragraph is also incomplete in that your though is not completed, it may be a mistake by your secretary but the idea you intended to make did not carry. Please study the paragraph and see if it "legally makes any sense".

Since I may add my thoughts I would like to say that I agree with my brother on two points. The first point is that we have so few (one or two) District Judges of Mexican Origin in Texas that I believe your attack on Judge Salinas on a publicity basis has injured you and the rest of our legal professional indirectly. If I had disagreed with Judge Salinas I would have talked to him personally.

Secondly under our jurisprudence set up the accused still has all the opportunities in a Democratic Country. I understand that the prosecuting attorney was the one that asked for most of the dismissals on the charges. I believe that Judge Salinas acted honestly in view of the evidence and the limitations of the charges and that he has again proven that the accused still has a chance under our set up. However this does not mean that I approve of the action of Judge Laughlin.

I am sorry in stating that the majority of our people in South Texas feel that you have done Judge Salinas and our people an injustice and that you have failed to take your own advice as you had recommended in another case of "not fighting publicly amongst ourselves". I may add that after all the criticism you dished out to us on the "Wetback Pamphlet" you certainly did not like the taste when the criticism was dashed out to you.

I agree with my brother and regret that a man of your culture and education should have written such a letter in a moment of anger and frustration. The Bar Association, I can assure you will not do anything about your illogical suggestions and

January 27, 1953

Mr. J.T.Canales
P.O. Box 826
Brownsville, Texas

Dear Mr. Canales:

I am surprised that you would send me a letter or a copy of a letter in a private argument or discussion between you and my brother. I believe that as an educated man, you should have kept the discussion on a basis between both of you.

However, since you have sent me a copy of the letter, I may like to add that in the first place your letter is not at all gentlemanly in that you not only use threatening language, but also in that you do not take criticism gracefully. To say the least, I was ashamed to know that you had written such an unreasonable and unwarranted letter in answer to a disagreement with Dr. J.A. Garcia. Incidentally, he is in San Antonio for four days.

Your second paragraph is also incomplete since your thought is not "cohesive"; it may be a mistake made by your secretary, but the idea you intended to make did not carry. Please study the paragraph and see if it "grammatically makes any sense".

Since I may add my thoughts, I would like to say that I agree with my brother on two points. The first point is that we have so few (one or two) District Judges of Mexican Origin in Texas, that I believe your attack on Judge Salinas on a publicity basis has injured you and the rest of our legal professional men indirectly. If I had disagreed with Judge Salinas, I would have talked to him personally.

Secondly, under our jurisprudence set-up, the accused still has all the opportunities in a Democratic Country. I understand that the prosecuting attorney was the one that asked for most of the dismissals on the charges. I believe that Judge Salinas acted honestly in view of the evidence and the limitations of the charges and that he has again proven that the accused still has a chance under our set-up. However, this does not mean that I approve of the action of Judge Laughlin.

I am sorry in stating that the majority of our people in South Texas feel that you have done Judge Salinas and our people an injustice and that you have failed to take your own advice as you had recommended in another case of "not fighting publicly amongst ourselves". I may add that after all the criticism you