

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

Austin 11. Texas

GERALD CWHANON XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Honorable Temple Shell County Attorney Wichita County Wichita Falls, Texas

Dear Sir:

Attention: Woodard Bass

Opinion No. 0-4634

Re: Residential requirements of candidate seeking office of county commissioner, and related questions.

We are in receipt of your recent letter requesting the opinion of this department regarding the eligibility of a candidate for county commissioner. We quote from your letter as follows:

"Under Art. 2927 and the facts submitted is a candidate for County Commissioner ineligible?

"He resided in Wichita County for a number of years prior to 1940. He then moved to another county, taking his family with him and buying a residence there. In February, 1942, he returned to Wichita County where he has lived since, -- his family remaining in the other County. He has claimed as his homestead property in Wichita County during the time that he was out of this county and has paid poll taxes in this, Wichita County.

"Question No. 2.

"Is the payment of a poll tax by a candidate for such an office a pre-requisit for his securing his name on the ticket?"

Article 2927, R. C. S., 1925, referred to in your letter, reads as follows:

"No person shall be eligible to any State, county, precinct or municipal office in this State unless he shall be eligible to hold office under the Constitution of this State, and unless he shall have resided in this State for the period of twelve months and six months in the county, precinct, or municipality, in which he offers himself as a candidate, next preceding any general or special election, and shall have been an actual bona fide citizen of said county, precinct, or municipality for more than six months. No person ineligible to hold office shall ever have his name placed upon the ballot at any general or special election, or at any primary election where candidates are selected under primary election laws of this State; and no such ineligible candidate shall ever be voted upon, nor have notes counted for him, at any such general, special, or primary election."

Relative to your first question this department cannot be concerned with passing upon matters involving questions of fact. The question of one's residence in this State is distinctly one of intention and of fact.

It has been uniformly held by the courts of this State that residence is determined largely as a question of intention which may be made to appear by all the facts and circumstances of the case.

In Texas Jurisprudence, Volume 16, page 48, it is said:

"Indeed it has been said that the intention of the individual often had a strong, if not a paramount, influence in determining residence."

None of the facts set forth in your letter would within themselves be controlling. They might, however, be considered in determining the individual intent in the matter. The fact that the wife of the candidate might live outside of the county where he is seeking election would not, within itself, control the question. In the recent case of Harwell v. Morris, 143 S. W. (2d) 809, it was said in this regard:

"It has never been the law in Texas that the residence of the husband is drawn to that of

the wife where they happen, for a time to be at different places. Republic of Texas v. Skidmore, 2 Tex. 261; Flowers v. State, 109 Tex. Cr. R. 241, 3 S. W. 2d 1111; Richmond v. Sangster, Tex. Civ. App., 217 S. W. 723. The rule was not changed by the provisions of Art. 2958, R. C. S., 1925, which provides that the residence of a married man is where his wife resides. That act was passed in 1925, long before suffrage was extended to women in Texas and had reference only to the residence of the husband, who, at that time, was the only member of the community who was entitled to vote, and it was designed only to provide a criterion by which the husband's residence could be definitely established in case of doubt as to his -- not the wife's -- residence. It was never intended by the law makers to change the long established rule that the residence of the family is established by the will or conduct of the husband. If the law were otherwise, we would have the anomalous possibility of the wife's legal residence being at one place and that of the husband, from whom she is not separated, being at an entirely different place, even in a different county.

We hope that these authorities may be of some assistance in determining the candidate's qualifications in regard to residence as inquired of in the first paragraph of your letter.

In answer to your question No. 2 it is the opinion of this department that there is no requirement either in the Constitution or the statutes that a person must have paid a poll tax in order to be éligible as a candidate for the office of county commissioner.

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By

E. G. Pharr Assistant

EGP:GO--pam

APPROVED JUNE 23, 1942 GROVER SELLERS FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

APPROVED OPINION COMMITTEE BY BWB, CHAIRMAN