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$\therefore$ The money lenders visited the legis-- Dative temple in Austin this week. There, with what appeared to be over$\because$ whelming ease, they won an interest Tate of 116 percent on loans between :\$100 and \$200.
$\because$ The State House of Representatives approved the fantastic interest rate by - a vote of 117 to 22 . the rate makes a complete mockery of Texas laws -against usury.
$\because$ The way in which the loan-shark interests brought it before the regislature is so satirical that it is almost $\therefore$ unbelievable. The exorbitant rate, triple the 36 percent gouging that is -legal now, was included with amend:mints to the Small Loan Regulatory Act to make the 1983 measure conform to the national Truth-in-Lending Act.

The justification for the 116 percent rate was given by Rep. Menton Murelay of Harlingen. It was all so simple as he explained it: Loan companies now can make 116 percent on loans up to $\$ 100$ but only 36 percent on
loans between $\$ 100$ and $\$ 200$. So, naturally, the loan companies are not making loans between $\$ 100$ and $\$ 200$. The higher interest rate would just be a service to the people in making money available to them. How considcrate of Rep. Murray and the loan companies.
The people who get hurt by such exorbitant rates are those who can least afford them-the poor who cant get a loan with a more reasonable interest rate from a bank or a credit union; people who need money quickly for an emergency and who have been brainwashed by jingles which give the imppression that only loan companies can provide instant cash when it's needed.

There is hope that the Senate will recognize the absurdity of the bill as passed by the House and also will move to eliminate the legal interest rate of 116 percent on loans up to $\$ 100$. This newspaper has always believed in free enterprise, but interest at this level is extortionate.
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